Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/575,557	BRIGHT, CHARLES EDWARD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SON DANG	3773	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>After Final Amendment</u>		
(1) <u>SON DANG</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>David Driscoll</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 10 September 2010	Time: <u>2:20 pm</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: Examiner Amendment			
Claims discussed: The title of the application			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner proposed to change the title of the application from "Applicator" to "A visual indication of a trigger intermediate position on an applicator" for more descriptive of what the invention actually is. The applicant agreed. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
/SON DANG/ Examiner, Art Unit 3773	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	